

REMARKS

This is in full and timely response to the Office Action dated August 8, 2007.

Claims 17-26 are currently pending in this application, with claim 17 being independent.

No new matter has been added.

Reexamination in light of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Rejection of the claims

While not conceding the propriety of the rejections made within the Office Action and in order to advance the prosecution of the present application, the prior claims have been canceled.

Withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

Newly added claims

Claims 17-26 - Claims 18-26 are dependent upon claim 17. Claim 17 is drawn to a display device comprising:

a matrix of pixels, a pixel of said matrix of pixels having an electro-optic material between a pixel electrode and a common electrode;

a common driver having an offset circuit, a common voltage generated by said common driver being applied to said common electrode,

wherein said offset circuit is charged to an offset voltage at a time of a rising edge of a power supply voltage, said offset voltage adjusting a level of said common voltage.

The references applied in the rejection of the claims within the Office Action, either individually or as a whole, fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a display device wherein said offset circuit is charged to an offset voltage at a time of a rising edge of a power supply voltage, said offset voltage adjusting a level of said common voltage.

Allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Official Notice

There is no concession as to the veracity of Official Notice, if taken in any Office Action.

An affidavit or document should be provided in support of any Official Notice taken. 37 CFR 1.104(d)(2), MPEP § 2144.03. See also, *Ex parte Natale*, 11 USPQ2d 1222, 1227-1228 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1989)(failure to provide any objective evidence to support the challenged use of Official Notice constitutes clear and reversible error).

Extensions of time

Please treat any concurrent or future reply, requiring a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time.

Fees

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge all required fees, fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.17, or all required extension of time fees. If any fee is required or any overpayment made, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee or credit the overpayment to Deposit Account # 18-0013.

Conclusion

This response is believed to be a complete response to the Office Action. Applicants reserve the right to set forth further arguments supporting the patentability of their claims, including the separate patentability of the dependent claims not explicitly addressed herein, in future papers.

For the foregoing reasons, all the claims now pending in the present application are allowable, and the present application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reexamination and reconsideration of the application in light of the remarks is courteously solicited.

If the Examiner has any comments or suggestions that could place this application in even better form, the Examiner is requested to telephone Brian K. Dutton, Reg. No. 47,255, at 202-955-8753.

Dated: July 9, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By  40,290
Ronald P. Kananen

Registration No.: 24,104

Christopher M. Tobin

Registration No.: 40,290

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC

Correspondence Customer Number: 23353

Attorneys for Applicant